UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In Re:	:	
	:	
Application for Exemption from the Electronic	:	General Order M-649
Public Access Fees by Edith Hotchkiss,	:	
	:	
	:	
	X	

This matter is before the Court upon the application and request by Edith Hotchkiss (the "Applicant") for exemption from the fees imposed by the Electronic Public Access Fee Schedule adopted by the Judicial Conference of the United States Courts.

The Court finds, based upon the attached letter received on February 4, 2025, that the Applicant has demonstrated that an exemption is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.

Accordingly, the Applicant shall be exempt from the payment of fees for access via PACER to the electronic case files maintained in this Court to the extent such use is incurred in connection with the project described in the attached letter and application. The Applicant shall not be exempt from the payment of fees incurred in connection with other uses of the PACER system in this Court.

Additionally, the following limitations apply:

- 1. This fee exemption applies only to the Applicant, and is valid only for the purposes stated above.
- 2. This fee exemption applies only to the electronic case files of this Court that are available through the PACER system;
- 3. By accepting this exemption, the Applicant agrees not to sell for profit any data obtained as a result of receiving this exemption.
- 4. This exemption is valid from February 1, 2025 through February 28, 2026.

This exemption may be revoked at the discretion of the Court at any time. A copy of this Order shall be sent to the PACER Service Center.

Dated: February 12, 2025 New York, NY

Martin Glenn

MARTIN GLENN

Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge

Application for Multi-Court Exemption from the Judicial Conference's Electronic Public Access (EPA) Fees

1.) I am requesting an exemption from fees for public access to electronic case records for the courts selected below:

olocioa bolow.			
Courts of Appeal		Bankruptcy Appellate Par	nels (BAP)
All Courts of Appeal	Seventh Circuit	First Circuit - BAP	
First Circuit	Eighth Circuit	Sixth Circuit - BAP	
Second Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit	Eighth Circuit - BAP	
Third Circuit	☐ Tenth Circuit	☐ Ninth Circuit - BAP	
Fourth Circuit	☐ Eleventh Circuit	Tenth Circuit - BAP	
Fifth Circuit	D.C. Circuit		
Sixth Circuit	Federal Circuit		
District Courts			
All District Courts			
Alabama Middle	☐ Illinois Northern	□ Nebraska	Rhode Island
Alabama Northern	☐ Illinois Central	☐ Nevada	South Carolina
Alabama Southern	Illinois Southern	New Hampshire	South Dakota
☐ Alaska	Indiana Northern	New Jersey	☐ Tennessee Eastern
Arizona	☐ Indiana Southern	New Mexico	☐ Tennessee Middle
Arkansas Eastern	lowa Northern	New York Eastern	☐ Tennessee Western
Arkansas Western	☐ Iowa Southern	New York Northern	Texas Eastern
California Central		New York Southern	☐ Texas Northern
California Eastern	Kentucky Eastern	New York Western	Texas Southern
California Northern	☐ Kentucky Western	■ North Carolina Eastern	Texas Western
California Southern	Louisiana Eastern	☐ North Carolina Middle	☐ Utah
☐ Colorado	Louisiana Middle	North Carolina Western	☐ Vermont
Connecticut	Louisiana Western	North Dakota	☐ Virgin Islands
Delaware	Maine	Northern Mariana Islands	☐ Virginia Eastern
District of Columbia	Maryland	Ohio Northern	☐ Virginia Western
Florida Middle		Ohio Southern	
Florida Northern	Michigan Eastern	Oklahoma Eastern	■ Washington Western
Florida Southern	Michigan Western	Oklahoma Northern	West Virginia Northern
Georgia Northern	Minnesota	Oklahoma Western	West Virginia Southern
Georgia Middle	Mississippi Northern	☐ Oregon	Wisconsin Eastern
Georgia Southern	Mississippi Southern	Pennsylvania Eastern	
Guam	Missouri Eastern	Pennsylvania Middle	Wyoming
Hawaii	Missouri Western	Pennsylvania Western	
☐ Idaho		Puerto Rico	

PLEASE NOTE: MY CURRENT EXEMPTION FOR NEW JERSEY EXPIRES SEPTEMBER 2025 SO I HAVE EXCLUDED. I ALSO DO NOT NEED GUAM, NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS, AND VIRGIN ISLANDS. IF EASIER TO SIMPLY REQUEST ALL INCLUDING THESE 4, PLEASE DO SO.

Damai udita Contra	ptcy Cou	rts
--------------------	----------	-----

\boxtimes	All Bankruptcy Courts	ALL (COURTS EXCEPT GUAM,	NEW.	JERSEY, NORTHERN MARIANA	ISLA	ANDS, VIRGIN ISLANDS
\boxtimes	Alabama Middle	\times	Illinois Northern	\boxtimes	Nebraska	\times	Rhode Island
\boxtimes	Alabama Northern	\boxtimes	Illinois Central	\boxtimes	Nevada	\boxtimes	South Carolina
\boxtimes	Alabama Southern	\boxtimes	Illinois Southern	\times	New Hampshire	\boxtimes	South Dakota
\boxtimes	Alaska	\boxtimes	Indiana Northern		New Jersey	\boxtimes	Tennessee Eastern
\times	Arizona	\boxtimes	Indiana Southern	\times	New Mexico	\boxtimes	Tennessee Middle
\times	Arkansas Eastern	\boxtimes	lowa Northern	\times	New York Eastern	\boxtimes	Tennessee Western
\boxtimes	Arkansas Western	\boxtimes	Iowa Southern	\times	New York Northern	\boxtimes	Texas Eastern
\times	California Central	\boxtimes	Kansas	\times	New York Southern	\times	Texas Northern
\boxtimes	California Eastern	X	Kentucky Eastern	\boxtimes	New York Western	\boxtimes	Texas Southern
\boxtimes	California Northern	\boxtimes	Kentucky Western	\times	North Carolina Eastern	\boxtimes	Texas Western
\boxtimes	California Southern	\times	Louisiana Eastern	\times	North Carolina Middle	\boxtimes	Utah
\boxtimes	Colorado	\boxtimes	Louisiana Middle	\times	North Carolina Western	\times	Vermont
\boxtimes	Connecticut	\times	Louisiana Western	\times	North Dakota		Virgin Islands
\boxtimes	Delaware	\times	Maine		Northern Mariana Islands	\boxtimes	Virginia Eastern
\boxtimes	District of Columbia	\times	Maryland	\times	Ohio Northern	\boxtimes	Virginia Western
\boxtimes	Florida Middle	\boxtimes	Massachusetts	\times	Ohio Southern	\boxtimes	Washington Eastern
X	Florida Northern	\times	Michigan Eastern	\times	Oklahoma Eastern	X	Washington Western
X	Florida Southern	\times	Michigan Western	\times	Oklahoma Northern	=	West Virginia Northern
\times	Georgia Northern	\times	Minnesota	\times	Oklahoma Western		West Virginia Southern
\boxtimes	Georgia Middle	\times	Mississippi Northern	\times	Oregon	=	Wisconsin Eastern
\boxtimes	Georgia Southern	\boxtimes	Mississippi Southern	X	Pennsylvania Eastern	\boxtimes	Wisconsin Western
	Guam	\times	Missouri Eastern	\times	Pennsylvania Middle	\boxtimes	Wyoming
\boxtimes	Hawaii	\times	Missouri Western	\boxtimes	Pennsylvania Western		
\boxtimes	Idaho	X	Montana	\times	Puerto Rico		
Nationa	l Courts						
Judicial Panel on U.S. Court of Multidistrict Litigation U.S. Court of International Trade							
2.) I am an individual associated with Boston College							
3.) Please summarize why the case information from the Public Access to Court Electronic Record (PACER) service is needed and how it will be used. Also, please explain why an exemption from							

how it will be used. Also, please explain why an exemption from the courts identified is necessary. If you need more space, please provide in an attachment.

I am a full-time Professor of Finance. I have published a numerous studies concerning the Chapter 11 process, including issues related to the viability of firms upon exit from bankruptcy. These include my text book, "Corporate Financial Distress, Restructuring, and Bankruptcy: Analyze Leveraged Finance, Distressed Debt, and Bankruptcy (Wiley Finance)", used in a number of college courses, and numerous publications in academic journals, copies of which I can provide on request. Consistent with all of my prior work in this field, my fee exemption request is to obtain documents to support defined research projects, is not intended for redistribution on the internet or for commercial purposes. The attached pages provide details for two projects and case documents needed for each.

- 4.) In support of this application, I affirm the following:
 - a) An exemption from the Judicial Conference's EPA Fee is necessary in order to avoid unreasonable burdens and to promote public access to information.
 - b) That the exemption will be for a definitive period of time: Feb 2025 to Feb 2026
 - c) I understand that this fee exemption will apply only to me, will be valid only for the purposes stated above, and will apply only to the electronic case files of the court(s) indicated above that are available through the PACER service.
 - d) I agree that any data received through this exemption will not be sold for profit, will not be transferred, will not be used for commercial purposes, and will not be redistributed via the Internet.

Declaration: I declare that all the above information is true and understand that a false statement may result in termination of my exempt access and an assessment of Electronic Public Access usage fees. (The box must be marked or your request will not be considered)

Edith Hotchkiss	(508) 561-4433
	Applicant's Phone Number
Applicant's Printed Name	hotchkis@bc.edu
Professor of Finance	Applicant's email address
Applicant's Title	Boston College, Fulton Hall Room 330
rippiodits Title	Applicant's Mailing Address
Eddelfelle	Chestnut Hill MA 01778
Applicant's Signature	City State Zip
	Code 02/04/2025
	Date

Please submit your completed, signed request via email to Multi-CourtExemptions@ao.uscourts.gov or by mail to:

Attention: Multi-Court Exemptions Court Programs Division DPS-CSO-PRGD One Columbus Circle, N.E. Washington, DC 20544

^{**} Requests sent through the US mail may take up to two weeks to clear security.**

Request for extensions to PACER fee exemptions. Edith Hotchkiss Pacer Account # 5201540 January 2025

Details of academic research projects and documents needed for data retrieval.

Project #1: Analysis of small business reorganizations under Subchapter V of Chapter 11

Since Subchapter V (SubV) went into effect in 2020, a very large proportion of small businesses filing for Chapter 11 have done so under SubV. Our first objective is to quantify the impact of the availability of SubV on the ability to reach plan confirmation. We focus our analysis on businesses with between \$4 and 11 million in liabilities in order to compare similar firms that do versus do not utilize SubV (under the eligibility threshold in effect until June 2024). Our work shows that not only has SubV replaced many traditional Chapter 11 filings, it approximately doubles the probability of plan confirmation. While SubV appears successful in achieving its goal of enabling small businesses to survive, it does not appear to harm expected recovery rates to unsecured creditors, using information regarding expected recoveries extracted from plans, compared to assumed recoveries in liquidation. We further find that post-confirmation survival rates are not lower for SubV debtors, suggesting that on average these procedures do not enable less viable of firms enabled to emerge from bankruptcy. Our work also speaks to the usefulness of the recently expired \$7.5 million eligibility threshold for SubV.

The sample we have studied thus far in our existing working paper includes of 5,100 cases across almost all US Bankruptcy courts, approximately 3,000 of which are SubV cases. Pacer documents were collected for a total of approximately 2,800 cases. We have not used an automated process to obtain documents.

We are currently updating our sample to include cases through December 2024, and to examine smaller cases because of the June 2024 change to the eligibility threshold. This additional work will require us to obtain court documents for approximately 3,000 additional cases. Our project requires manually collected information to determine case characteristics and various aspects of case outcomes. These documents include (as applicable to an individual case) the case summaries; claims registers; petitions and schedules; docket; plans; disclosure statements; first day declarations; motions/orders for confirmation, dismissal, or conversion to Chapter 7; and final decree.

We have presented our working paper at numerous conferences, and are referenced in the report of the ABI task force on SubV. We are extending our work in response to comments from the *Journal of Finance*. We are also extending our work to consider the impact on smaller businesses, and better understand the relationship to personal (non-debtor) borrowing of the business owner. While document length can vary significantly by case, I estimate a cost of approximately \$27 per case. Given the number of cases required for academic study, I estimate total costs of \$81,000 for this ongoing work, for which I do not have funding and am requesting a Pacer fee exemption for academic researchers.