

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
ENRON CORP., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)
	:	(Confirmed Case)
Reorganized Debtors.	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
	:	
ENRON CORP.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Adv. Pro. No. 03-92677
	:	
J.P. MORGAN SECURITIES INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ERRATA ORDER

ORDERED, that Opinion Regarding Plaintiff’s Motion for Leave to Amend its Complaint against Merrill Lynch Investment Managers CO., Ltd., dated December 15, 2006, be corrected as follows:

1. Page 23, in the third full paragraph, line one, the entry listed as
“ . . . that MLIM was not a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows
“ . . . that MLIM was not an initial transferee”
2. Page 24, in the carryover paragraph, line 9, the entry listed as
“ . . . if determined as a beneficiary, would remain as a defendant in the lawsuit while MLIM Japan determined as a transferee, could be added under the theory that there was a misidentification in the role of the existing defendant, MLIM, as a transferee as opposed to a beneficiary.”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . if determined as a beneficiary, would remain as a defendant in the lawsuit while MLIM Japan determined as an initial transferee, could be added under the theory that there was a misidentification in the role of the existing defendant, MLIM, as an initial transferee as opposed to a beneficiary.”

3. Page 27, in the second full paragraph, line 2, the entry listed as
“ . . . that MLIM Japan was not a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . that MLIM Japan was not an initial transferee”

4. Page 28, in the carryover paragraph, line 1, the entry listed as
“ . . . it were involved in the Transaction,”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . it were involved in the Transactions,”

5. Page 29, in the first full paragraph, line 2, the entry listed as
“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be an initial transferee”

6. Page 32, in the second full paragraph, line 3, the entry listed as
“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be an initial transferee”

7. Page 33, in the carryover paragraph, line 8, the entry listed as
“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be a transferee”

should be corrected to read as follows

“ . . . to the extent that it were determined to be an initial transferee”

Dated: New York, New York
December 18, 2006

s/Arthur J. Gonzalez
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE