

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:	:	Chapter 11
	:	
ENRON CORP., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	Case No. 01-16034 (AJG)
	:	Confirmed Case
Reorganized Debtors.	:	

ENRON CORP., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	Adv. Pro. No. 03-09266 A
	:	
CITIGROUP, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

BARCLAYS PLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Third-Party Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP,	:	
	:	
Third-Party Defendant.	:	

ERRATA ORDER REGARDING
OPINION CONCERNING
THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANT ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP'S
MOTION TO DISMISS THIRD-PARTY COMPLAINT

The Opinion Concerning Third-Party Defendant Arthur Andersen LLP's Motion to Dismiss Third-Party Complaint, entered on the docket on October 11, 2006, should be corrected as follows:

On page 2, under the appearances for the firm Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle LLP,

and prior to Ms. Foudy's name, add Eliot Lauer, Esq., and Steven J. Reisman, Esq.

On page 4, the last sentence of the last full paragraph reads

“Alternatively, Andersen argues that the Motion should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.”

It should be corrected to read:

“Alternatively, Andersen argues that the Third-Party Complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.”

On page 5, there is a reference in both the first and second paragraph to

“11 U.S.C. § 1334”

Both references should be corrected to read:

“28 U.S.C. § 1334”

On page 11, the first sentence of the second full paragraph starts as follows:

“Anderson contends that the authorization of referral to the bankruptcy under . . .”

It should be corrected as follows:

“Anderson contends that the authorization of referral to the bankruptcy courts under . . .”

Dated: New York, New York
October 17, 2006

s/Arthur J. Gonzalez
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE